Ni(acac)₂-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts

Suk-Ku Kang,* Hyung-Chul Ryu and Sang-Woo Lee

Department of Chemistry, Sungkyunkwan University, Natural Science Campus, Suwon 440-746, Korea

The Ni-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts were achieved in the presence of Ni(acac)₂ (10 mol%) in NMP at 70 °C in moderate yields.

The palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with organic electrophiles (*i.e.*, halides and triflates) are known as the Stille reaction[1] and have become an extremely powerful tool for carbon–carbon bond formation. In the search for alternatives to the palladium catalyst, the copper and manganese-catalyzed cross-coupling of organostannanes with organic halides has been reported.[2] Hypervalent iodine compounds have received much attention as the electrophiles with organostannanes in palladium-catalyzed reactions[3] due to their good reactivities, ready availability and nontoxic properties. Recently we have reported[4] copper(I)-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodine compounds. Here we wish to report nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of iodonium salts with organostannanes.

Generally, to generate active nickel(0) species a reducing agent such as Zn, NaBH₄, or DIBAL-H is needed. Thus, in the nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of organostannanes with arylmethanesulfonates by Percec *et al.*[5] the presence of zinc was essential to get an active catalyst. However in the literature, Ni(dppf)Cl₂-catalyzed cross-coupling of chloroarenes with arylboronic acids was realized in the absence of a reducing agent.[6] We have studied nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling and carbonylative cross-coupling of hypervalent iodonium salts with organostannanes and found that the catalyst Ni(acac)₂ is effective and surprisingly the catalyst performed much better when the reducing agent was omitted (Scheme 1).

R^1SnBu_3 + $R^2I^+PhX^-$ $\xrightarrow[\text{NMP, 70 °C, 8 h}]{\text{Ni(acac)}_2\,(10\,\text{mol\%})}$ $R^1\text{-}R^2$

$R^1 = Ph, p\text{-MeOC}_6H_4$

$X = BF_4, OTf, Br$

$R^2 = p\text{-MeOC}_6H_4, 2\text{-thienyl}, 2\text{-furyl}, (E)\text{-PhCH=CH},$

Scheme 1

Initially, we examined the cross-coupling of *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1b**) with 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) to form the coupled product **3g** and to find optimum conditions. After a series of experiments, it was found that of the catalysts tested [Ni(acac)₂, [Ni(acac)₂–Zn, NiCl₂(Ph₃P)₂, NiCl₂(Ph₃P)₂–Zn, NiCl₂(dppe), Ni(acac)₂–Zn–Ph₃P, Ni(acac)₂–Et₂Zn, Ni(acac)₂–ZnCl₂, NiCl₂(dppe)–Zn, NiCl₂] Ni(acac)₂ was the only catalyst which afforded the coupled product in a high yield. Of the solvents tested (NMP, DMF, CH₃CN, CHCl₃, THF, CHCl₃–NMP) the solvents NMP and DMF were effective and NMP was the best choice.

The nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling of hypervalent iodonium salts with organostannanes is summarized in Table 1. The di-

phenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1a**) reacted with 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) in the presence of Ni(acac)₂ (10 mol%) in NMP at 70 °C for 8 h to afford 2-phenylthiophene (**3a**)[7] in 79% yield (entry 1 in Table 1). Under the same conditions, treatment of the iodonium salt **1a** with 2-furyltributylstannane (**2d**) gave 2-phenylfuran (**3b**)[8] in 78% yield (entry 2). This coupling was applied to alkenyl- and alkynylstannane **2e** and **2f**. The iodonium salt **1a** was readily coupled with **2e** and **2f** to provide the coupled alkene **3c** and alkyne **3d** in 82 and 80% yields, respectively (entries 3 and 4) (see Experimental section). For the *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1b**), reaction with *p*-methoxyphenyltributylstannane (**2b**) gave 4,4′-dimethoxy-1,1′-biphenyl (**3e**) in 73% yield (entry 5). Coupling of **1b** with 2-furyl- and 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2d**) and (**2c**) afforded *p*-methoxyphenyl-substituted furan and thiophene **3f** and **3g**[9] in 71 and 77% yields, respectively (entries 6 and 7). For the *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium triflate and bromide (**1c**) and (**1d**), which have different counterions from **1b**, reaction with **2c** afforded the coupled product **3g** in 75 and 78% yields (entries 8 and 9). It is notable that the yields of cross-coupling were not dependent on the counterions.

In considering a plausible mechanism for the coupling, it is presumed that the oxidative addition of highly reactive electrophilic iodonium salt **1a** with Ni(0) gives polar and reactive PhNi(II)L₂, which if subjected to transmetallation with organostannanes followed by reductive elimination would give the cross-coupled product.

This cross-coupling was extended to carbonylation cross-coupling and the results of carbonylative cross-coupling of hypervalent iodonium salts with organostannanes under atmospheric pressure are summarized in Scheme 2 and Table 2.

R^1SnBu_3 + $R^2I^+PhBF_4$ $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text{NMP, 70 °C, 8 h}\\\text{CO (1 atm)}}]{\text{Ni(acac)}_2\,(10\,\text{mol\%})}$ R^1COR^2

$R^1 = Ph, p\text{-MeOC}_6H_4, (E)\text{-PhCH=CH}$

$R^2 = Ph, 2\text{-thienyl}, 2\text{-furyl}, (E)\text{-PhCH=CH},$

Scheme 2

The iodonium salt **1a** reacted with phenyltributylstannane (**2a**) in the presence of Ni(acac)₂ (10 mol%) in NMP under an atmospheric pressure of carbon monoxide at 70 °C for 8 h to afford benzophenone (**4a**) in 81% yield (entry 1 in Table 2) (see Experimental section). Under the same conditions, 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) was readily coupled with carbon monoxide to give the ketone **4b**[10] in 76% yield (entry 2). This carbonylative cross-coupling was applied to alkenyl- and alkynyl-substituted stannanes **2e** and **2f** which were smoothly coupled to afford unsaturated ketones **4c** and **4d**[11] in 72 and 78% yields, respectively (entries 3 and 4). For *p*-methoxyphenyl(phenyl)iodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1b**), 2-thienyltributylstannane (**2c**) was readily coupled under CO to give aryl

Table 1 Ni(acac)$_2$-catalyzed cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts

Entry	Hypervalent iodonium salts	Organostannanes	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1	Ph$_2$I$^+$BF$_4^-$ **1a**	2-thienyl–SnBu$_3$ **2c**	2-thienyl–Ph **3a**	79
2	**1a**	2-furyl–SnBu$_3$ **2d**	2-furyl–Ph **3b**	78
3	**1a**	Ph–CH=CH–SnBu$_3$ **2e**	Ph–CH=CH–Ph **3c**	82
4	**1a**	Ph–C≡C–SnBu$_3$ **2f**	Ph–C≡C–Ph **3d**	80
5	MeO–C$_6$H$_4$–I$^+$Ph BF$_4^-$ **1b**	MeO–C$_6$H$_4$–SnBu$_3$ **2b**	MeO–C$_6$H$_4$–C$_6$H$_4$–OMe **3e**	73
6	**1b**	2-furyl–SnBu$_3$ **2d**	2-furyl–C$_6$H$_4$–OMe **3f**	71
7	**1b**	2-thienyl–SnBu$_3$ **2c**	2-thienyl–C$_6$H$_4$–OMe **3g**	77
8	MeO–C$_6$H$_4$–I$^+$Ph $^-$OTf **1c**	2-thienyl–SnBu$_3$ **2c**	2-thienyl–C$_6$H$_4$–OMe **3g**	75
9	MeO–C$_6$H$_4$–I$^+$Ph Br$^-$ **1d**	**2c**	**3g**	78

ketone **4e**[10] in 70% yield (entry 5). Treatment of **1b** with alkynyl-substituted stannane **2f** afforded ynone **4f**[12] in 69% yield (entry 6). Finally the alkenyl-substituted iodonium salt **1c** was reacted with 2-furyltributylstannane (**2d**) to afford the enone **4g**[13] in 65% yield (entry 7).

Experimental

Typical procedure

To a mixture of diphenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1a**) (500 mg, 1.36 mmol) and nickel(II) acetylacetonate (34.9 mg, 10 mol%) was added tributyl(phenylethynyl)stannane (**2f**) (532 mg, 1.36 mmol) under N$_2$ charged at 70 °C in NMP (20 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 8 h, extracted with ether (20 mL × 3) and washed with water (20 mL × 3). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude product was separated by SiO$_2$ column chromatography (hexanes, R_f = 0.49) to afford diphenyl-acetylene (**3d**) (194 mg, 80%).

To a mixture of diphenyliodonium tetrafluoroborate (**1a**) (500 mg, 1.36 mmol) and nickel(II) acetylacetonate (34.9 mg, 10 mol%) was added tributylphenylstannane (**2a**) (499 mg 1.36

mmol) under atmospheric CO at 70 °C in NMP (20 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 8 h, extracted with ether (20 mL × 3), and washed with water (20 mL × 3). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and evaporated *in vacuo*. The crude product was separated by SiO$_2$ column chromatography (EtOAc–hexanes 1:10 R_f = 0.45) to afford benzophenone (**4a**) (200 mg, 81%).

Notes and references

1 Review: (*a*) J. K. Stille, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1986, **25**, 508; (*b*) T. N. Mitchell, *Synthesis*, 1992, 803.
2 (*a*) J. R. Falck, R. K. Bhatt and J. Ye, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **117**, 5973–5982; (*b*) S.-K. Kang, J.-S. Kim and S.-C. Choi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, **62**, 4208–4209.
3 (*a*) R. M. Moriarty and W. R. Epa, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 4095–4098; (*b*) R. T. Hinkle, G. T. Poulter and P. J. Stang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 11626–11627; (*c*) S.-K. Kang, H.-W. Lee,

Table 2 Ni(acac)$_2$-catalyzed carbonylative cross-coupling of organostannanes with hypervalent iodonium salts

Entry	Hypervalent iodonium salts	Organostannanes	Product	Isolated yield (%)
1	Ph$_2$I$^+$BF$_4^-$ **1a**	PhSnBu$_3$ **2a**	PhCOPh **4a**	81
2	**1a**	**2c**	**4b**	76
3	**1a**	Ph⁀SnBu$_3$ **2e**	Ph⁀COPh **4c**	72
4	**1a**	Ph━━SnBu$_3$ **2f**	Ph━━COPh **4d**	78
5	MeO⟨⟩I$^+$Ph BF$_4^-$ **1b**	**2c**	**4e**	70
6	**1b**	Ph━━SnBu$_3$ **2f**	Ph━━CO⟨⟩OMe **4f**	69
7	Ph⁀I$^+$Ph BF$_4$ **1c**	**2d**	**4g**	65

J.-S. Kim and S.-C. Choi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 3733–3736; (*d*) S.-K. Kang, H.-W. Lee, S.-B. Jang, T.-H. Kim and J.-S. Kim, *Synth. Commun.*, 1996, **26**, 4311–4318.

4 S.-K. Kang, T. Yamaguchi, T.-H. Kim and P.-S. Ho, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 9082–9083.

5 V. Percec, J.-Y. Bae and D. H. Hill, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1995, **60**, 6895–6903.

6 A. F. Indolese, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 3513–3516.

7 (*a*) T. Sotoyama, S. Hara and A. Suzuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1979, **52**, 1865–1866; (*b*) E. I. Negishi, F. T. Luo, R. Frishee and H. Matsushita, *Heterocycles*, 1992, **22**, 1667–1669.

8 A. Pelter, M. Rowland and G. Clements, *Synthesis*, 1987, 51–53.

9 L. T. Baldwin, S. Pakray, R. Castle and M. L. Lee, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1985, **22**, 1667–1669.

10 S.-K. Kang, K.-H. Lim, P.-S. Ho, S.-K. Yoon and H.-J. Son, *Synth. Commun.*, 1998, **28**, 1481–1489.

11 L. Delaude, A. M. Masdeu and H. Alper, *Synthesis*, 1994, 1149–1151.

12 T. Kobayashi and M. Tanaka, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1981, 333–334.

13 M. A. Ciafolin and N. E. Byrne, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 1230–1231.